Exhibit R Cause

39 Am. Jur. 2d Highways, Streets, and Bridges § 145

American Jurisprudence, Second Edition | May 2021 Update

Highways, Streets, and Bridges

John A. Gebauer, J.D.; Lonnie E. Griffith, Jr., J.D.; Glenda K. Harnad, J.D.; Janice Holben, J.D.; and Jeffrey J. Shampo, J.D.

IX. Use of Way

A. Right to Use

§ 145. Right of all to use

Topic Summary | Correlation Table | References

West's Key Number Digest

West's Key Number Digest, Highways 167

The public are entitled to a free passage along the highway. The existence of a public highway creates a public easement of travel, which permits the general traveling public to use the highway at will. All persons have an equal right to use highways for purposes of travel by proper means, and with due regard for the corresponding rights of others. The right to use them is not restricted to the citizens of the municipality or state.

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. 33-34B © 2021 Thomson Reuters/RIA. No Claim to Orig. U.S. Govt. Works. All rights reserved.

Footnotes

- County of Boone v. Reynolds, 549 S.W.3d 24 (Mo. Ct. App. W.D. 2018), reh'g and/or transfer denied, (May 1, 2018) and transfer denied, (July 3, 2018).
- Town of Ridgefield v. Eppoliti Realty Co., Inc., 71 Conn. App. 321, 801 A.2d 902 (2002).

 The "public highway" created by operation of law along every section line is more than a right-of-way over which a public highway may be established; it is a passage or road that every citizen has a right to use. Douville v. Christensen, 2002 SD 33, 641 N.W.2d 651 (S.D. 2002).
- State v. Mayo, 106 Me. 62, 75 A. 295 (1909); Omaha & Council Bluffs St. Ry. Co. v. City of Omaha, 114 Neb. 483, 208 N.W. 123 (1926); Dent v. Oregon City, 106 Or. 122, 211 P. 909 (1923); Bombard v. Newton, 94 Vt. 354, 111 A. 510, 11 A.L.R. 1402 (1920); Thompson v. Smith, 155 Va. 367, 154 S.E. 579, 71 A.L.R. 604 (1930).

A traveler is privileged to enter that part of the land in the possession of another upon which there is a public highway, to the extent his or her presence there is in the reasonable use of the highway. Restatement Second, Torts § 192.

Ex parte Daniels, 183 Cal. 636, 192 P. 442, 21 A.L.R. 1172 (1920); Wilmot v. City of Chicago, 328 Ill. 552, 160 N.E. 206, 62 A.L.R. 394 (1927); New York State Public Employees Federation, AFL-CIO v. City of Albany, 269 A.D.2d 707, 703 N.Y.S.2d 573 (3d Dep't 2000); Parker v. City of Silverton, 109 Or. 298, 220 P. 139, 31 A.L.R. 589 (1923); Norfolk & P. Traction Co. v. City of Norfolk, 115 Va. 169, 78 S.E. 545 (1912); Yarrow First Associates v. Town of Clyde Hill, 66 Wash. 2d 371, 403 P.2d 49 (1965).

The right to use of the highways rests with the whole people of the state. Augusta v. Kwortnik, 161 A.D.3d 1401, 78 N.Y.S.3d 726 (3d Dep't 2018).

County Com'rs of Charles County v. Stevens, 299 Md. 203, 473 A.2d 12 (1984).

End of Document

© 2021 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.